

Court of Common Pleas of Beaver County

JUVENILE SERVICES DIVISION

2025 ANNUAL REPORT

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF BEAVER COUNTY

Honorable Richard Mancini	President Judge
Honorable Kim Tesla	Judge
Honorable James J. Ross	Judge
Honorable Dale M. Fouse	Judge
Honorable Mitchell P. Shahan	Judge
Honorable Deborah Lancos DeCostro	Judge
Honorable Laura J. Tocci	Judge

Garrett Harper	District Court Administrator
Aileen Bowers	Deputy Court Administrator
Kate Weidner	Deputy Court Administrator

Tiffany York	Juvenile Hearing Officer
J. Philip Colavincenzo	Juvenile Hearing Officer
George Patterson	Juvenile Hearing Officer
William Rumbaugh	Juvenile Court Consultant

JUVENILE SERVICES DIVISION STAFF

ADMINISTRATION

Charles Rossi, Jr.	Director
Joy Porto	Assistant Director
John Davis	Supervisor
Erin Sabol	Clerical and Fiscal Manager

INTAKE / CHILD CUSTODY

Amber Mineard – Intake Coordinator	
Mike Miller	J.T. Engel
Frank Jupin, Jr.	Michael Braddock

PROBATION OFFICERS

Sean Bunney	Debra Landsbaugh
Marshall Clark	Meena Hill
Brandi Sabol	Kris McCafferty
Curtis Shroads	Ryan Nohe
Nelly Peralta	Emily Keener
Nicole Miller	Marissa Paff

CLERICAL SERVICES

Tammy Bonchi-Retired
Alicia Zarrillo
Deborah Gaguzis

Deborah Goedeker-Retired
Nanette Bruce

THE AGENCY

The Juvenile Services Division is an agency of the Court of Common Pleas of Beaver County. It operates pursuant to 42 PA. C.S. 6301 et. seq. (The Juvenile Act) and the Pennsylvania Rules of Juvenile Court Procedure. The focus of this agency is to process delinquency, dependency and child custody filings.

The mission of the Juvenile Services Division is to protect the public from juvenile delinquency, impose accountability for the offenses committed, provide treatment in line with each offender's individual needs and equip offenders with the required competencies to enable them to live productivity and responsibly in the community.

All delinquent programs and services are predicated on the use of employing evidenced based practices through the Juvenile Justice System Enhancement Strategies. This is completed with fidelity at every stage of the juvenile process along with the Balanced and Restorative Justice Model as set forth in 42 PA C.S. 6301 (b) (2). Under this model, the agency mandate is to provide balanced attention to community protection, imposition of accountability, and the development of competencies to enable youth to become productive and responsible members of the community.

The Juvenile Services Department provides supervision for clients in the community and placements. We have various levels of supervision and intensity. The department focusses on the Risk-Need-Responsivity (RNR) model, a key framework in offender rehabilitation, uses the risk principle to determine who to target, the need principle to identify what to target (criminogenic needs), and the responsivity principle to determine how to target interventions. The Juvenile Court Judges Commission provides funding for staff salaries and training. Also, the Juvenile Services Division operates a community service program, the Truancy Intervention with the Abatement Program, Victim Awareness, the Redeem Fund (Restitution Program), and Positive Transitions Seminar (a parenting class) created in 2019, for child custody litigants.

2025 HIGHLIGHTS

- Glade Run Afterschool Program- created and accepted Clients in the Fall of 2025
- Implementation of Security Wands and Radios
- Nine Officers were trained and certified as Youth Level of Service Master Trainers

- Adult Probation and Juvenile Services upon direction from the Board of Judges created and began teaching an Anger Management Class
- Juvenile Services hosted the first staff and client recognition ceremony during Juvenile Justice Week.
- The department nominated a Juvenile for an Outstanding Achievement Award presented by the Juvenile Court Judges Commission. Our nominee won and was presented with his award at the 2025 James E. Anderson Conference.
- Developed in-house paid work experience via a partnership with Beaver County Job Training
- Developed a Comprehensive Resource Database and Matrix

REFERRALS AND DISPOSITIONS

Referrals by type: 2021-2025

YEAR	DELINQUENCY	DEPENDENCY	CUSTODY	TOTAL
2025	315	44	679	1038
2024	335	54	694	1052
2023	423	67	607	1097
2022	393	69	699	1161
2021	282	56	786	1124

In 2025, delinquency referrals increased by approximately 3.5% from 2024. The average filing of delinquency over the last five years is 343 allegations. In 2025, dependency referrals decreased by 18% from the prior year, with an average filing of 58 allegations since 2021. Custody referrals decreased by 2.3% in 2025, since the previous year; over the past five years there was an average filing of 693 cases.

Delinquency referral demographics 2025

	BLACK	WHITE	MULTI-RACIAL	HISPANIC	MALE	FEMALE	FIRST OFFENDER	REPEAT OFFENDER
2025	47.43%	42.69%	7.91%	1.98%	66.01%	33.99%	60.47%	39.53%
2024	37.76%	46.50%	13.99%	1.4%	72%	28%	57%	43%
2023	41%	51.5%	7.5%		72%	28%	52%	48%
2022	43%	59%	6%		71%	29%	50%	50%
2021	48%	58%			71%	29%	57%	43%

Total allegations filed by Police Department and Magistrates

Age Ten -Twelve – 40, Age Thirteen- Fourteen – 56, Age Fifteen 44, Sixteen and Older 136.

Referrals by source 2021-2025

	Total Delinquency Referrals	By Law Enforcement Agcy.	By MDJ	Juvenile Services
2025	276 (315)	212	64	39
2024	304(335)	247 (86.06%)	29(10.10%)	31
2023	423	337(80%)	86(20%)	
2022	393	312(79%)	81(21%)	
2021	282	230(82%)	52(18%)	

Referrals by Source: Aliquippa, 20; Ambridge, 32; Beaver Falls, 21; Beaver, 9; Beaver Valley Regional Police, 34; Big Beaver Falls School District, 0 Brighton Twp., 5; Center Twp., 10; Chippewa, 4; Economy Boro, 1; Harmony, 2; Hopewell Twp., 15; ; Independence Twp., 2; Midland, 5; Monaca, 3; New Brighton, 6; New Brighton School Police, 0;New Sewickley Twp., 11; North Sewickley Twp 5; Ohioville, 0; Other Counties, 7; PA State Police, 8; Patterson Twp., 0; Rochester, 9; Rochester School Police, 0; South Beaver Twp., 0; South Side S.D., 3and. The Magisterial District Judge’s accounted for 64 contempt’s for failure to pay fines. Juvenile Service’s filed 39

allegations that include, Consent Decree Revocations (16), violations of probation (11), and dispositional reviews (12). This does not include referrals that were expunged.

<i>Referrals by most serious charge</i>	2025	2024	2023	2022	2021
AGGRAVATED ASSAULT	19	16	33	47	19
BURGLARY	0	6	16	10	8
DRUG RELATED/DUI	26	5	116	66	64
CRIMINAL MISCHIEF	3	9	38	57	23
CRIMINAL TRESPASS	4	3	25	20	20
FIREARMS & WEAPONS/Homicide	7	3	46	27	25
SEX OFFENSES	5	1	34	30	6
UNPAID FINES	63	31	86	81	53
THEFT (ALL)	27	25	202	74	48
ROBBERY	3	2	11	7	3
SIMPLE ASSAULT	43	57	106	73	66
TERRORISTIC THREATS	8	9	33	14	16
ADMINISTRATIVE(JSD)	39	31	38	36	29

There were 4 counts of Arson related offenses in 2025; 1 count of Strangulation; 0 counts of Endangering the Welfare of Another; 8 counts of Institutional Vandalism; 13 counts of Disorderly Conduct and numerous other misdemeanor and summary offenses. Of the 713 charges on the 315 allegations filed by the police, probation officers, District Judges in 2025, 211 or 29% were graded as felonies; 349, or 49% were graded as misdemeanors; 129 or 19% were graded as summaries; 31 or 4% were administrative. There was a 1% decrease in felony filings from 2024. This does not include referrals that were expunged.

Delinquency Disposition 2021-2025

	<u>Intake Processing</u>	<u>Consent Decree</u>	<u>Formal Processing</u>	<u>Total</u>
YEAR				
2025	108	130	200	438
2024	93	97	178	368
2023	94	93	122	309
2022	87	117	136	340
2021	83	68	102	253

Formal (Court) dispositions 2025:

TRANSFERRED TO CRIMINAL COURT	0
DISMISSED/WITHDRAWN	98
PROBATION	82
COMMITMENT	10
TRANSFER TO ANOTHER COUNTY	6

DETENTION

Secure detention 2021-2025

YEAR	ADMISSIONS	RELEASES	CHILD DAYS	AVERAGE STAY	AVG. POPULATION
2025	14	13	255	19.6	.7
2024	22	23	821	35.6	2.24
2023	16	16	603	37.7	1.7

2022	12	13	293	22.5	.8
2021	10	9	324	36	.9

Shelter 2021-2025

YEAR	ADMISSIONS	RELEASES	CHILD DAYS	AVERAGE STAY	AVG. POPULATION
2025	17	17	320	18.8	.87
2024	8	9	134	14.88	.36
2023	18	17	350	20.6	.96
2022	14	14	221	15.7	.61
2021	19	19	350	18.4	.95

Temporary foster care 2021-2025

YEAR	ADMISSIONS	RELEASES	CHILD DAYS	AVERAGE STAY	AVG. POPULATION
2025	28	19	891	46.89	2.44
2024	41	39	1267	32.4	3.47
2023	36	35	868	24.8	2.4
2022	23	24	324	13.5	.9
2021	30	28	496	17.7	1.4

CLIENT SUPERVISION

Cases Under Court Supervision during 2025

Function	Total Cases	Avg. Caseload	# of staff assigned
Line Probation	138	13	4
Intensive Probation	31	19	1
Aftercare	37	8	3
School-based probation	107	16	3
District Justice Unpaid Fines	67	36	1

Total cases under supervision for 2025 was 380. Probation officers also supervised truancy cases throughout 2025. There was a total of 7 cases that were supervised. There are 4 line-staff that provide truancy supervision with an average of 1-2 clients per caseload.

CASE OUTCOMES

Total juveniles closed that involved probation supervision or other service: 181

CHANGE IN YLS RISK SCORES/LEVELS

- 1. 170 or 93.9%** of juveniles required to complete a YLS per county policy
- 2. Of those 170 juveniles, 163 or 95.9%** of juveniles that had an initial and **case closing** assessment (among those required to complete a YLS per county policy)
- 3. 103 or 63.2%** of juveniles scored low risk at initial assessment (among those who had an initial and case closing YLS)
- 4. 45 or 27.6%** of juveniles scored moderate risk at initial assessment (among those who had an initial and case closing YLS)

5. **15 or 9.2%** of juveniles scored high or very high risk at initial assessment (among those who had an initial and case closing YLS)

6. Among juveniles who completed both assessments, the number and percentage of juveniles scoring **low risk** on their initial assessment (103) that had:

- a. a lower *YLS score* at case closing than at initial assessment - **31 or 30.1%**
- b. a higher *YLS score* at case closing than at initial assessment - **23 or 22.3%**
- c. *YLS scores* that stayed the same - **49 or 47.6%**

Among juveniles who completed both assessments, the number and percentage of juveniles scoring **moderate risk** on their initial assessment (45) that had:

- a. a lower *YLS score* at case closing than at initial assessment - **28 or 62.2%**
- b. a higher *YLS score* at case closing than at initial assessment - **8 or 17.8%**
- c. *YLS scores* that stayed the same - **9 or 20.0%**

Among juveniles who completed both assessments, the number and percentage of juveniles scoring **high or very high risk** on their initial assessment (15) that had:

- a. a lower *YLS score* at case closing than at initial assessment - **13 or 86.7%**
- b. a higher *YLS score* at case closing than at initial assessment - **2 or 13.3%**
- c. *YLS scores* that stayed the same - **0 or 0%**

7. Among juveniles who completed both assessments, the number and percentage of juveniles scoring **low risk** on their initial assessment (103) that had:

- a. a higher *YLS level* at case closing than at initial assessment - **5 or 4.9%**
- b. *YLS levels* that stayed the same - **98 or 95.1%**

Among juveniles who completed both assessments, the number and percentage of juveniles scoring **moderate risk** on their initial assessment (45) that had:

- a. a lower *YLS level* at case closing than at initial assessment - **12 or 26.7%**
- b. a higher *YLS level* at case closing than at initial assessment - **1 or 2.2%**
- c. *YLS levels* that stayed the same - **32 or 71.1%**

Among juveniles who completed both assessments, the number and percentage of juveniles scoring **high or very high risk** on their initial assessment (15) that had:

- a. a lower *YLS level* at case closing than at initial assessment - **11 or 73.3%**
- b. *YLS levels* that stayed the same - **4 or 26.7%**

COMMUNITY PROTECTION: The citizens of Pennsylvania have a right to safe and secure communities.

1. Fingerprints, Photographs, and DNA Collection

27 or 14.9% of juveniles closed (181) were **required** to be **fingerprinted and photographed** for an adjudicated offense.

Of those **27** juveniles that were **required** to be **fingerprinted and photographed** for an adjudicated offense,

- 25 or 92.6%** were Fingerprinted, **2 or 7.4%** were not Fingerprinted
- 24 or 88.9%** were Photographed, **3 or 11.1%** were not Photographed

24 or 88.9% were indicated as being Fingerprinted and Photographed
2 or 7.4% were indicated as being not Fingerprinted and Photographed
1 or 3.7 % were indicated as either Fingerprinted or Photographed

13 or 7.2% of juveniles closed (181) were **required to submit a DNA sample** for an applicable offense
Of those **13** juveniles that were **required to submit a DNA sample** for an applicable offense, **13**
or 100% had DNA sample collected

2. Juvenile Offenses while under supervision

179 or 98.9% of juveniles **successfully completed supervision without a new juvenile offense**
resulting in a Consent Decree or Adjudication of Delinquency
2 or 1.1% of juveniles, while under supervision, **were charged with a new juvenile offense** that
resulted in a Consent Decree or Adjudication of Delinquency
8 or 4.4% of juveniles, while under supervision, **were petitioned to court for a violation of**
probation
2 or 1.1% of juveniles, while under supervision, **were petitioned to court for a violation of**
probation that resulted in a change of disposition

3. Adult Offenses and Direct File while under supervision

3 or 1.7% of juveniles, while under supervision, **were charged with a new criminal offense** and are
pending in criminal court

3 or 1.7% of juveniles, while under supervision, **were charged with a new criminal offense** and
subsequently entered ARD, a plea of Nolo Contendere, **or were found guilty** in criminal court

0 or 0.0% of juveniles, while under supervision, **were charged with a "direct file" offense** that is
pending in criminal court

2 or 1.1% of juveniles, while under supervision, **were charged with a "direct file" offense** and **subsequ-**
ently entered ARD, a plea of Nolo Contendere, **or were found guilty** in a criminal proceeding

4. Median length of supervision: 6.0 months

5. Placement

5 or 2.8% of juveniles were committed to out-of- home placement for 28 or more consecutive days
(excluding detention, shelter care, and diagnostic placement)

Median length of stay in out-of- home placement for 28 or more consecutive days
(excluding detention, shelter care, and diagnostic placement): **9.7 months**

ACCOUNTABILITY: In Pennsylvania, when a crime is committed by a juvenile, an obligation
to the victim and community is incurred.

1. Restitution

39 or 21.5% of juveniles closed (181) **had a restitution obligation**

Total amount of restitution **ordered was \$22,215.95**

38 or 97.4% of juveniles obligated **made full** restitution to their victim(s)

Total amount of restitution **collected was \$21,415.95**

1 or 2.6% of juveniles obligated that **did not make full** restitution to their victim(s)

1 or 100% of juveniles that **did not make full** restitution were **aged out and judgment was entered**

0 or 0% of juveniles that **did not make full** restitution were **aged out and no judgment entered**

0 or 0% of juveniles that **did not make full** restitution had their **case closed and judgment was entered prior to aging out**

0 or 0% of juveniles that **did not make full** restitution had their **case closed with no judgment entered**

0 or 0.0% of juveniles that **did not make full** restitution were **Deceased**

2. Community Service

171 or 97.7% of juveniles **completed** assigned community service obligation

3,962 total community service hours were **assigned**

175 or 96.7% of juveniles were **assigned** community service

3,857 total community service hours were **completed**

3. Other Financial Obligations

159 or 87.8% of juveniles were **ordered** to pay Crime Victim's Compensation Fund Costs

152 or 95.6% of juveniles paid Crime Victim's Compensation Fund Costs in full

Total amount Crime Victim's Compensation Fund costs collected: **\$6,225.00**

170 or 93.9% of juveniles were **ordered** to pay other fines, fees, or court costs

Total amount of fines, fees, or court costs collected: **\$19,051.25**

163 or 95.9% of juveniles obligated paid fines, fees, or court costs **in full**

7 or 4.1% of juveniles obligated **did not** pay fines, fees, or court costs in full

1 or 14.3% of juveniles that did not pay full fines, fees, or court costs, were **aged out and judgment was entered**

0 or 0% of juveniles that did not pay full fines, fees, or court costs, were **aged out and no judgment was entered**

1 or 14.3% of juveniles that did not pay full fines, fees, or court costs, had their **case closed and no judgment was entered**

5 or 71.4% of juveniles that did not pay full fines, fees, or court costs, had their **case closed and judgment was entered** prior to aging out

0 or 0.0% of juveniles that did not pay full fines, fees, or court costs were **Deceased**

4. Victim Awareness

92 or 92% of juveniles **successfully completed** a victim awareness curriculum/program while under supervision

100 or 55.2% of juveniles were **ordered** to participate in a victim awareness curriculum/program while under supervision

COMPETENCY DEVELOPMENT: Juveniles who come within the Jurisdiction of Pennsylvania's Juvenile Justice System should leave the system more capable of being responsible and productive members of the communities.

Case Plan Activities

76 or 42% of juveniles required to complete a Case Plan per county policy

70 or 92.1% of juveniles had a case plan completed that included goals and risk reduction activities to address the top 2-3 criminogenic needs identified by the YLS.

70 or 92.1% of case plans were successfully completed during supervision

67 or 88.2% case plans were updated throughout the supervision period

Activities Utilized During Supervision for All Cases Closed

133 or 73.5% of plans included **Skill Building & Tools activities**
105 or 58% of plans included **Cognitive Behavioral Group Interventions**
69 or 38.1% of plans included **Referral to Treatment Services**
1 or 0.6% of plans included **other activities**

Education and Employment

180 or 99.4% of juveniles were employed or actively engaged in an educational or vocational activity at case closing
136 or 75.6% of juveniles were **attending school and passing** while under supervision
14 or 7.8% of juveniles were **attending school and not passing** while under supervision
23 or 12.8% of juveniles **graduated high school** while under supervision
0 or 0.0% of juveniles were **attending G.E.D. preparation classes** while under supervision
1 or 0.6% of juveniles **obtained G.E.D** while under supervision
7 or 3.9% of juveniles were **participating in vocational training** while under supervision
13 or 7.2% of juveniles were **actively seeking employment** while under supervision
10 or 5.6% of juveniles were **employed full time** while under supervision
31 or 17.2% of juveniles were **employed part time** while under supervision
1 or 0.6% of juveniles were **enrolled or participating in higher education** while under supervision

PLACEMENTS

Placement Report / 2025

	Delinquent	Total
<i>Placed as of 1/1/25</i>	6	6
<i>Placed during 2025</i>	10	10

In 2025, there were 10 juvenile commitments to delinquent placements. Two juveniles were funded by Carelon (Medicaid).

CHILD CUSTODY CASES

Child custody referrals and dispositions / 2022-2025

YEAR	REFERRALS	DISPOSITIONS
2025	679	652
2024	694	658
2023	607	624
2022	699	644

The Juvenile Services Division conducted approximately eight home studies for child custody cases during 2025.

Child custody dispositions / 2022 -2025

	2025	2024	2023	2022
AGREEMENT	56	79	52	57
PROPOSED ORDER	144	129	183	179
TEMP. ORDER/ FINAL	0	2	0	0
FINAL ORDER (COURT)	404	380	336	377
OTHER	35	61	39	60
EXCEPTIONS FILED	92	62	92	67
RESOLVED AT JSD*	86%	91%	85%	91%

*Cases resolved at JSD is the percentage of cases filed that did not result in the filing of exceptions. It does not take into consideration that some exceptions may have been filed during the year on cases filed the prior year. The percentage of dispositions resolved at JSD for the year of 2025, reflects dispositions of previous pending cases from prior years. The total dispositions in 2025 was 652 – 92 = 560/652 or 86%.

COLLECTIONS

<i>Collection Summary/2025</i>	<i>Assessed</i>	<i>Collected</i>
Restitution	\$31,432.99	\$17,833.50
Crime Victims Comp.	\$3,345	\$3,272.62
Juvenile Restitution Fund	\$16,628.80	\$15,741.36
Juvenile Supervision Fees	\$15,501.25	\$14,926.50
Total	\$66,908.04	\$51,766.96

Redeem Fund / 2025

Beginning balance	\$20,811.94
Deposits	\$10,718.09
Paid out	\$13,409.83
Ending balance	\$ 17,939.07
Clients participating	59
Client's referred in 2025	42
Clients completed	44

There was a carryover of clients from 2024.

PROGRAMS AND SERVICES

The Juvenile Services Division operates programs for youth involved in the justice system and utilizes several other community-based privately, operated programs on behalf of our clients and community. A brief summary of these programs follows:

COMMUNITY SERVICE – Since 1985 the agency has operated a community service program. Nearly every delinquency disposition order has a requirement for community service. Most orders are for 25 to 50 hours. Throughout the years this department has partnered up with multiply agencies in order for the clients to complete their court required community service hours. Yearly, we participate in several festivals, car cruises, recycling events, local community clean ups, turtle races, as well as events that support our mental health and drug and alcohol recovery programs. Each year we usually provide between 85 and 100 hours of event time where clients can participate to earn their community service hours. In addition to these events, clients also have the opportunity to assist at various churches, food pantries, and many other nonprofit organizations within their own communities.

REDEEM FUND – In 2007 the Beaver County Court of Common Pleas created and has continued to operate a restitution program. Funds come to the program from collected unpaid fines for summary offenses that were referred by the Magisterial District Offices and any juvenile that is placed on supervision pays the cost of \$50.00 to the program. Once a juvenile enters the program, he or she may complete community service earning \$15.00/hour which assists in restoring victim following. This model is known as balance and restorative justice.

YLS/CMI - The YLS/CMI is an evidenced based tool used to predict a client's risk to reoffend. Officers administer this tool during the intake process to assist in identifying the client's criminogenic needs and strengths. All sixty-seven (67) counties in Pennsylvania use the YLS/CMI. Risk level information allows the agency to allocate a greater amount of resources to high and moderate risk clients. Also, this instrument helps identify issues that are problematic for a given youth so that an appropriate case plan can be developed to address those needs while being mindful of the client's responsibility factors.

MCS/ELECTRONIC MONITORING – Another tool that is used by the Court is the Electronic Monitoring System. This enables moderate to high risk clients to remain in their home in lieu of detention. This type of monitoring equipment has been used more due based on the results of Pennsylvania Detention Risk Assessment. Probation Officers can track a client's whereabouts using Global Positioning System. This is an example of an alternative to detention and the department conducts review hearings every thirty days. During 2025, there were 20 clients that were referred to the program. There was a 13% decrease in the use of the monitor from 2024. Out of these 20 clients in 2025, 8 (eight) were placed on our zero-tolerance program and 12 (twelve) were placed on our non-zero tolerance program. In 2025 we spent \$7,159.45, and the average number of days that a client was on a unit was 72 days. (1,607 total days). The use of this tool has saved the department a significant amount of money.

VICTIM AWARENESS – The Juvenile Services Division adopted the evidenced based program created by Valerie Bender a Consultant for the Juvenile Court Judges Commission. Classes are conducted on a quarterly basis. Clients that are eligible for probation supervision in the community are referred to the program through Juvenile Services. In 2025, there were 98 clients that were referred to the program and 70 (71%) successfully completed the in-person program. Additionally, 16 clients completed the modified program.

CAREER LINKS – The Juvenile Services Division, in partnership with Beaver County Adult Probation and Beaver County Domestic Relations, have worked with Career Links which is located in the Beaver Valley Mall, Monaca PA. Clients worked on various issues such as learning how to obtain their GED, complete a resume, complete job applications, and apply for OVR Services and job training. In 2025, the department was approved as a work site for students that could be interested in pursuing a career in Juvenile Justice. Following an interview with Job Training, a student could be eligible to work with pay at an intern level in our Department.

PRESSLEY RIDGE CRISIS PROGRAM - Crisis Stabilization and Family Preservation services in Beaver County are designed to serve the needs of youth and their families who are involved with the Juvenile Services Division of Beaver County and who are either returning from a juvenile detention facility or other placement or are at risk of being placed in a facility. Services are designed to do a thorough assessment of the youth and family to identify areas of volatility that risk re-entry into the juvenile justice system, and to offer crisis stabilization, brief treatment, and linkage to support services. Crisis Stabilization and Family Preservation services are provided to youth ages 12-18 years of age and their family members, including parents, siblings, and any other persons identified by the family as needing to be included. Visits are conducted both as a team and as individuals depending on the purpose of each session. Services are offered in the home and community, including meeting the youth and family in whatever location is convenient to the family.

POSITIVE TRANSITIONS – In 2025, the Court continued to use the Child Custody Communication Class (Positive Transitions). This class was created for several important reasons. The Courts of Beaver County more efficient tool to ensure custody and divorce litigants are better able to communicate throughout their Civil Court proceedings. By having litigants complete the program; the goal is to have clients learn to communicate in an appropriate manner with the consideration of children’s feelings throughout the process. The Court also needed a system whereby the program is offered at different times throughout the month, attendance is monitored accurately, clients are provided a Court contact person and data is collected at each class to ensure each instructor is following the curriculum with fidelity. The program is self-sustaining and is funded by litigants paying an initial fee for the class. Below are the class statistics.

Year-to-date:

428 individuals

341 successful completions

79.92% successful completion rate

Average pre-test score: 83%

Average post-test score: 93 %

Average evaluation score (out of possible 5): 4.60

Collections- 257 Individuals Paid 84 Waived

TRAILS MINISTRIES – In 2025, the department continued to partner with TRAILS Ministries by using their D.O.V.E. (Diversity, Occupation, Vocation, and Education) program which is PACTT affiliated. TRAILS addressed the academic needs of clients as well as providing technical training. Also, TRAILS provides Virtual visits and in-person visitation as well as

transportation. In 2025, TRAILS had a change in leadership and welcomed Tamara Collier as their Director. Both agencies meet quarterly so all employees can discuss cases and exchange resources.